DEPOSITION OF LLOYD RAY BUSH

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
2	FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA						
3	EASTERN DIVISION						
4							
5	DAVID DAVIS,						
6	Plaintiff, CCOPY						
7	vs. CASE NO. 3:06-CV-0054-VPM						
8	CITY OF PHENIX CITY, ALABAMA,						
9	et al.,						
10	Defendants.						
11							
12							
13	* * * * * * * * *						
14							
15	DEPOSITION OF LLOYD RAY BUSH, taken pursuant to						
16	stipulation and agreement before Shannon M.						
17	Williams, Certified Court Reporter and Commissioner						
18	for the State of Alabama at Large, in the offices of						
19	City Hall, 601 12th Street, Phenix City, Alabama, on						
20	Tuesday, November 6, 2007, commencing at						
21	approximately 12:14 p.m. EST.						
22							
23	* * * * * * * * *						
24							
25							

```
1
                             APPEARANCES
      FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
 2
      THOMAS A. WOODLEY
 3
      Woodley & McGillivary
      1125 15th Street N.W.
 4
      Suite 400
      Washington, D.C. 20005
      FOR THE DEFENDANTS:
 6
      JAMES P. GRAHAM, JR.
      712 13th Street
      P.O. Box 3380
 8
      Phenix City, Alabama 36868-3380
 9
      JAMES R. MCKOON, JR.
10
      McKoon & Associates
      925 Broad Street P.O. Box 3220
11
      Phenix City, Alabama 36868-3220
12
13
     ALSO PRESENT:
14
      Cole Dugan
15
      David Davis
      Wallace Hunter
16
     H.H. Roberts
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

STIPULATIONS

It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between counsel representing the parties that the deposition of LLOYD RAY BUSH is taken pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and that said deposition may be taken before Shannon M. Williams, Certified Court Reporter and Commissioner for the State of Alabama at Large, without the formality of a commission; that objections to questions other than objections as to the form of the questions need not be made at this time but may be reserved for a ruling at such time as the deposition may be offered in evidence or used for any other purpose as provided for by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

It is further stipulated and agreed by and between counsel representing the parties in this case that said deposition may be introduced at the trial of this case or used in any manner by either party hereto provided for by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

* * * * * * * * * *

LLOYD RAY BUSH, JR.

The witness, having first been duly sworn or affirmed to speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY MR. WOODLEY:

- Q. Mr. Bush, could you state your name for the Record?
 - A. Lloyd Ray Bush, Jr.
- Q. My name is Tom Woodley. I am one of the attorneys representing Mr. David Davis in this lawsuit against the City and against Chief Hunter and City Manager Roberts. I want to make sure that you're aware of that. And we have noticed your deposition and you were good enough to appear today to be placed under oath to answer certain questions that we have that are related to the case.

I want to mention some preliminary points to you, just to be sure you and I are on the same wavelength. Have you ever had your deposition taken before in another case?

A. I'm sure I have.

MR. MCKOON: Have you ever sat down like with a court reporter right here and --

A. Yes.

	Q. Well, some of the points to keep in mind as								
	we go through your deposition today is if at any								
	time you don't hear or understand one of my								
	questions, please let me know immediately and I'll								
	be more than happy to repeat or rephrase that								
question. Do you understand that?									
	A. Yes.								
	Q. And you'll have to wait until I finish my								
	question before you begin your answer so that this								
	very able court reporter can take down everything								
	that we say here today. Do you understand that?								
	A. I do.								
-	Q. And then later on, if you choose, you'll								
- Constitution of the Cons	have the opportunity to read the transcript of your								
noncode primary management	deposition to make sure that you think it's correct								
Aranna Societi - Languagean	and accurate. Do you understand that?								
***************************************	A. Yes.								
***************************************	Q. All right. I'm interested in your								
***************************************	background. I understand you are currently a city								
	council member?								
STATE OF THE PERSONS	A. That's true.								
VICTORIA PARTICIPATA PARTICIPA	Q. How long have you been on the city council?								
***************************************	A. Since October of '04.								

duties and responsibilities of a council member?

Okay. And in a nutshell, what are the

- A. We primarily work with policy and making decisions as far as the city is concerned.
- Q. And as I understand it, you are considered the city council, the legislative body of the city?
 - A. The legislative body, that's true.
- Q. Is it accurate to say that the city manager is the ultimate chief executive officer of the local government?
 - A. Under our form of government, he is.
- Q. As I understand it, he has the final decision making authority concerning any removal of city employees. Is that your understanding as well?
 - A. That's true.

-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

77

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. And do you have another job or source of income other than being on the city council?
 - A. Other than being retired and playing golf.
- Q. Not bad work if you can get it. Okay. Let me get right to some of the issues that are involved in this court action. I take it you are familiar with the plaintiff, David Davis, who is seated on my right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Have you known him for a number of years?
- A. I guess before I became on council, I met
 David down at a fitness facility that we both worked

out	at.	. Не	got	to k	oe vei	ry cl	ose	wit]	h my :	brother,	
who	is	there	a	lot.	And	then	Ι	met 1	David	through	my
brot	her	Bill	re	ally.							

- Q. Okay. Can you recall any past events you ever attended with Mr. Davis being there or talked to Mr. Davis?
- A. I attended two or three meetings that he had.
 - Q. What kind of meetings were those?
- A. They were involving his -- I think before I was elected -- the union with the fire department.
- Q. So it would be meetings of the union members, and he was one of the local union officers?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you recall having attended two or three of those union meetings?
- A. Yes.

- Q. What were the reasons that you attended the meeting? Were you looking for political support or --
- A. I was looking at the time also -- I was looking for political support, but I was also interested in what they had to say. And I went down and I didn't really get involved. I just sat and listened to their meetings.

1	Okay
Q.	1 1K ~ 1/
× *	Carre A

- A. And talked with them.
- Q. And when you say you were interested in what the firefighter union members had to say, would that be issues affecting the fire department?
- A. Well, at that particular time. At that particular time.
 - Q. And what time period?
 - A. This is before I was elected in 2004.
- Q. Okay. Do you remember what issues, at least in a general sense, were discussed with you at those local union meetings that you attended?
- A. Not really, you know, because they talked about a lot of different things. And I don't really recall what the major things they were complaining about at that time. And most of them were not of any nature that I could do anything about anyway.
- Q. But do you recall, at least in a general sense, that they --
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You have to wait until I ask the question. Do you recall, at least in a general sense, that the issues discussed at the union meetings that you attended were issues that related to the city's fire department?

A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Could they have been staffing or employee morale or --
- A. Probably a little of all. They carried on -- they talked about all different types of subjects.
- Q. And you say this was before you were elected --
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. -- to the city council?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. How about after you were elected —— I think you said in 2004 —— to the city council? Did you attend any local union meetings of the firefighters with Mr. Davis?
- A. I the only one that I remember I may have done maybe one more. But the only one I remember was the last one last time I was there, I just remember it. I couldn't tell you if I had attended any before then, was when I was there and I had to tell them that, you know, that the way that it was, that I could not help them in any way because of the fact that Mr. Roberts was the spokesperson for the fire department and that there was that I had no authority and that and I

laughed and said, well, sometimes the more I tried to help you, the worse things got. So I said, I can't try to help y'all anymore.

- Q. When you say you-all, you mean the firefighter union?
 - A. Yes. I mean the firefighter union.
 - O. Union members?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. But I guess within your authority as a city council member and the power of the city council itself, you certainly can enact proposed ordinances that effectuate changes or improvements in the fire department, correct?
- A. Any ordinance that the chief and city manager brings to us, you know, that we look at, we do. We vote -- we do have the last say as far as those ordinances and things like that.
- Q. But as an individual council member, you could certainly draft and propose an ordinance yourself to be considered and voted on by the city council --
 - A. I could.
 - Q. -- that would affect the fire department?
 - A. I could.
 - O. And has that been done?

- A. No. That I have not.
- Q. Do you recall a city ordinance that was proposed and eventually adopted which expanded the period of probation within the fire and police department from one year to 18 months?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Let me invite your attention to that ordinance. I only have one copy, which we will have to share. It's Ordinance 2006-13, which was passed and adopted by the city council on April 18, 2006, and it does deal with the expansion of the probationary period to 18 months for police officers, firefighters, and code enforcement officers. Do you generally recall considering and acting on that ordinance?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Prior to the adoption of that ordinance on April 18, 2006, did you have occasion to speak with Mr. Davis about the proposed ordinance?
- A. As I remember, I didn't contact Mr. Davis. If I recall, he called me he called me at home. I was at home.
 - Q. Concerning the proposed ordinance?
 - A. And asked me about the ordinance, yes.
 - Q. And did he indicate he was calling in his

capacity as the local president of the firefighters' union expressing concerns about the proposed ordinance?

- A. Well, he -- I can't -- I can't say that he said, you know, I'm calling. He said, I'm just really interested in it, what it amounts to. And he said, I was just wanting to know what you thought about it. And so I explained to him exactly why I was for it, because the police chief and fire chief and everybody had talked about how much time it took in their training during the first year, that they really didn't have time to really -- oh, what I'm trying to say -- see how well they're doing, grade them or whatever it is, before their probation period is over. So it sounded and felt like the right thing. And it only applied to new hires. It didn't affect anybody that was already with the city.
 - Q. So it would not have affected Mr. Davis --
 - A. No.

-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. -- who was an eight-year veteran of the fire department?
 - A. No. Would not affect him at all.
- Q. And your understanding is that the police and fire chief wanted to enlarge the probationary

period for new hires to 18 months so they would have 1 a longer period to evaluate --2 To evaluate. I was trying to get that 3 word, but I couldn't. 4 -- to evaluate probationary employees? 5 A. Yes. 6 Did Mr. Davis mention to you in that 7 0. 8 conversation that expanding the probationary period could have an adverse impact upon effective 9 recruitment of new hires into the fire department? 10 I think that's what he said his concerns 11 12 were. So was the concern about staffing say in 13 the fire department? 14 I believe that's what he told me. Α. Yes. 15 You know, I can't remember. That's been --16 Ouite some time? 0. 17 -- quite some time. 18 A. 0. And as I understand it, you don't recall if 19 he introduced himself in the telephone conversation 20 with you as representing the firefighter union 2.1 members on the subject? 22 23 A. No. Has Mr. Davis ever spoken to you about any 24

other subject since you became a council member in

2004, any proposed ordinance or other city issue affecting the fire department?

A. If he has, I don't recall.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. Now, when he contacted you, I think you said he called you at home concerning --
 - A. I believe that's correct.
- Q. Now, were you offended by his contacting you? Or did you welcome the information and input from Mr. Davis and the union?
- A. Really, at the time, I never gave it a thought.
 - Q. You didn't think it was a big deal?
 - A. That's -- at the time.
 - Q. Did you think it was a big deal later?
- A. Well, I I wouldn't say, you know, that because I didn't think his calling me was relevant. You know, he called me at home and, like I say, I don't think he I thought he had just called me just as somebody that he knew and wanted to and really and truly, the way I remember it, is he was really wanting to make sure that it only that the new ordinance only would be for new hires, to make sure that it was only for new hires.
- Q. But I'm trying to get a sense of how you reacted to his phone call with you in the

conversation you had with him about this proposed ordinance. Were you upset in any way by the communication? Did you think it was inappropriate or a problem of any kind? Or was it pretty much business as usual and not a big deal?

- A. Well, as far as I was concerned at the time, when he called, you know, he asked me and I explained to him what I as much as I could, about what I knew about the ordinance and the reason why I supported it. And that's pretty much, you know, all I thought. And I thought it was you know, as far as I was concerned, it was over. That was that I answered his question and that was all that it was.
- Q. Okay. I get a sense and you correct me if I'm wrong that you didn't think his communication with you at that time on the proposed ordinance was a problem or some difficulty of any kind, did you?
- A. Well, of course, one of the things, too, I was still a fairly new council member and, of course, I there was a lot of things then I did not know. And I, of course one of the things I didn't know was the real structure of the fire department and police department really as far as them operating under the paramilitary, or whatever

it is, rules that they do and that they go
through -- have to go through their supervisors and
go up the ladder. And so -- so as far as at that
particular time, you know, I thought nothing about
it.

- Q. You did not think it was inappropriate?
- A. No, at the time.

- Q. But later on, did you happen to reach a conclusion that you thought his communication with you on the phone about this proposed ordinance was improper?
- A. Well, you know, I'm not going to say that I ever thought that it was that improper. I just found out that the that they did have steps that they needed to go through, you know, under for from the fire chief on down to the assistant chiefs and, you know, captains and all the way down. And I think that that's you know, and I shouldn't have known that, but he should have known that. You know, I'm not because I've never been a fireman. I didn't know anything, like I say, about the (interruption) I'm sorry. I thought I had it cut off.
- Q. Okay. So by the time of April 2006, you had been a city council member for two years?